Data Analysis in Ocean Science

OCES 3301

3 credits

Pre-requisites: COMP 1021 OR OR COMP 1023 OR COMP 1029P

Name: Julian Mak

Email: jclmak@ust.hk

Consultation Hours: times TBC, Rm 5482 (Lift 25/26)

Course Description

This course provides the basic tools and skills for data analysis within ocean sciences. The focus is on the quantitative aspect, and really on the tools, though the examples and context will be largely from the ocean science context. Familiarity with the relevant problems in ocean science to be tackled would be beneficial but not completely necessary; if anything, one can potentially learn a bit more about the topic concerned by actively looking through the data itself rather than passively taking on content. A particular focus of this course is the active/experimental/hands-on type learning, provided through guided computer workshops, and supplemented with lectures.

Intended Learning Outcomes (ILOs)

By the end of this course, students should be able to:

1. Describe and explain data relevant to ocean sciences.

2. Perform basic and standard analysis techniques for data using a programming language.

3. Discuss data formats and possible issues with quality within ocean sciences.

4. Perform data exploration, as an avenue for improving scientific understanding.

5. Formulate and execute scientifically informed presentation via presentation of data and scientific writing.

Assessment and Grading

This course will be assessed using criterion-referencing and grades will not be assigned using a curve. Detailed rubrics for each assignment are provided below, outlining the criteria used for evaluation.

Assessments:

All written assessments are to be sent in as a Jupyter notebook (possibly including the relevant files) and submitted on Canvas. All Jupyter notebooks need to be able to run from scratch in a Conda environment at least; we will maximally spend 10-15 mins on trying to fix bugs, but after that there will be penalisation on the coding and scientific content criteria (see below in grading rubric).

For all written assessments, students are allowed a 1 week grace period with no questions asked (but you need to let us know on or before the assignment due time). Any further requests for extensions will require some proof (e.g. medical note, proof of internet going down such as electrical outage so Canvas submission was not possible). Measure of lateness will be done via the Canvas timestamp, and will be at <u>1% per minute penalty</u> (i.e. don't bother handing anything in after 100 mins, because you already got zero).

Assessment Task	Contribution to Overall Course grade (%)	Due date
Assignment 1	20%	7th October 2025
Assignment 2	20%	14th November 2025
Assignment 3	20%	9th December 2025
Quizzes	20%	continuous
Interview style exam	20%	During exam period

* Assessment marks for individual assessed tasks will be released within two weeks of the due date.

Mapping of Course ILOs to Assessment Tasks

Assessed Task	Mapped ILOs	Explanation
Assignments 1, 2, 3	ILO1, ILO2, ILO3, ILO4, ILO5	All handed in reports require students to provide an outline and explanation of the context, perform their own calculations, and evaluate their results in a coherent manner fitting of a scientific report, and in line with expectations for capstone and FYPs. Additionally provides practice with report writing skills; appropriate feedback will be given.
Quizzes	ILO1, ILO2, ILO3	Quizzes provide continuous checks for students to evaluate their progress and understanding, and as a means to maintain engagement and promote class attendance.
Interview style exam	ILO1, ILO3, ILO5	The final oral exam serves as an overall assessment of the students' mastery of the subject beyond technical competence in the execution aspect, in line with criterion-based grading.

Grading Rubrics

Quizzes are out of 10.

Written assessments are marked out of 110%, with the breakdown as scientific content (50%), writing and presentation (incl. grammar, figure quality etc.; 35%), coding and use of Jupyter notebooks functionalities (15%), and extras (going above and beyond the course material and approach; 10%). Most of the marks are given in terms of the scientific content to emphasise the focus, and the extras allows opportunity for further exploration. Any mark above 100% still only counts as 100%.

Interview style exam is out of 20, with questions based on four randomly chosen topics drawn from a box by student on the day, and each topic is marked out of 5. Questions depend on the combination of drawn topics.

For class attendance, 10 of the lectures are core and are the ones assessed with written assignments. Anything else are bonus lectures, which may be drawn for the interview style exam, but questions for those will be kept simple.

Final Grade Descriptors:

See also provided model good and bad hand-ins provided on the course GitHub page.

Grades	Short Description	Elaboration on subject grading description
A	Excellent Performance (>90% in the course)	Shows mastery of knowledge and understanding of the main subject matter, can problem-solve and critically evaluate approach, strong ability in communicating scientific and technical content.
B	Good Performance (75 – 90% in the course)	Shows good knowledge and understanding of the main subject matter, competence in problem-solving and some evaluation of approach, and the ability to communicate scientific and technical content.
C	Satisfactory Performance (60 – 75% in the course)	Shows adequate knowledge and understanding of the main subject matter, some issues with problem-solving, some ability to communicate scientific and technical content.
F	Fail (<60% in the course)	Shows poor knowledge and understanding of the main subject matter, struggles with problem-solving, unable to communicate scientific and technical content.

Course AI Policy

Use of AI is allowed and encouraged and by all means use it to help you code, but it is somewhat irrelevant in that most of the content is marked according to the scientific content anyway.

Communication and Feedback

Assessment marks for individual assessed tasks will be communicated via Canvas within two weeks of submission. Feedback on assignments will include a marked up PDF report with marked up comments, and a breakdown of the marks. Students who have further questions about the feedback including marks should consult the instructor within five working days after the feedback is received.

Resubmission Policy

Given the arrangements already for no-questions asked extensions and the use of continuous assessment, no resubmission or alternative assignments will be provided under normal circumstances.

Required Texts and Materials

All Jupyter notebooks should be self-contained; further reading is given in the notebooks accordingly.

Academic Integrity

Students are expected to adhere to the university's academic integrity policy. Students are expected to uphold HKUST's Academic Honor Code and to maintain the highest standards of academic integrity. The University has zero tolerance of academic misconduct. Please refer to [Academic Integrity | HKUST – Academic Registry](#) for the University's definition of plagiarism and ways to avoid cheating and plagiarism.

[Optional] Additional Resources

All Jupyter notebooks should be self-contained; further resources are given in the notebooks accordingly.